


This PowerPoint Presentation can be used to train coalitions on the first prioritization option, the one that includes all coalition members rating all indicators using a Survey Monkey form sent out in advance of the prioritization meeting.

For this presentation, you will need copies of the following materials:

- ATOD evaluation protocol: Needs Assessment Prioritization
- Prioritization criteria handout
- Copies of the Needs Assessment Workbook and any other relevant data

## Goals for today

- After today, you will understand...
  - The purpose of the needs assessment
  - The needs assessment prioritization process
  - The prioritization criteria



wilderresearch.org

## Purpose of the needs assessment

- Identify intervening variables that contribute to youth alcohol use in our community.
- Determine additional or alternative strategies needed to address local intervening variables.
- Build our coalition's capacity to collect, compile and use data for planning.
- Establish a system for making data-driven decisions.



wilderresearch.org

The first step in this needs assessment was to fill in the ***Needs Assessment Workbook***. Now that the workbook is completed, we will use the data in the Workbook to prioritize the intervening variables measured to determine which variables are the most important to address in our community.

We will be required to update the ***Need Assessment Workbook*** annually, but the most significant data collection, compilation, and prioritization will occur during the first year of the grant.

## As a reminder...

---

*Intervening variables are factors that have been identified through research as influencing if and how much a substance is used in your community. By exploring these variables, your community will be able to address underage alcohol use with appropriate and targeted strategies.*

- NH Risk and Protective Factor Toolbox, 2008

wilderresearch.org

Examples of intervening variables we will be looking at include: the number of students accessing alcohol from parents or the number of students using a fake ID to buy alcohol

## The identification of our community's intervening variables must:

- Be driven by data collected in the Needs Assessment Workbook
  - As well as all other relevant data our coalition has access to
- Include the active involvement of the coalition



## Prioritization criteria

1. **Magnitude** – “How meaningful is the SIZE of this issue in your community?”
2. **Political will** – “How much do community leaders/members care about this issue?”
3. **Capacity** – “What level of resources do you have available to address this issue?”
4. **Changeability** – “How easy is it to change the value of the indicator of the course of the grant?”



### *Distribute the Prioritization Criteria Handout*

Our coalition members will rate each intervening variable based on each of these criteria. The variables will be rated relative to each other. For example, based on our local data, which variables are more or less concerning than the other variables on the list? Even if you decide not to rate the variables, it's important to understand these criteria so you can make consistent, informed decisions about the intervening variables.

*If your funded area has multiple school districts, please read this as well:* If you have different information for the same intervening variable, rate the one that has the greatest magnitude. So for instance, we have two school districts in our funded area and if one district shows that 68% of students got alcohol at parties and the other district shows that 32% of students get alcohol at parties, rate the variable on all four criteria based on the school district that has 68% and note that you focused on just that school district.

## Guidelines for selection

- Select 6-8 intervening variables
- Have at least 2 categories represented:
  - Retail access/availability
  - Social access/availability
  - Enforcement and courts
  - Community norms
  - Individual factors
  - Pricing/promotion



wilderresearch.org

It is recommended that our community selects six to eight intervening variable indicators to address with programs, policies, and practices. The process of selecting priorities to address should be data driven—not based on a required number. However, keep in mind that too few variables will not provide our community with a comprehensive prevention approach while too many variables may not be feasible given the budget and timeline.

Please remember that we are currently looking only at intervening variables, not specific strategies. The intervening variables we select now will help us identify strategies in the future, but by choosing 6-8 specific intervening variables, it does not mean that we will be implementing 6-8 specific strategies down the road.

We need to make sure that we have at least two categories of variables represented in our final list of intervening variables. As a reminder, these categories include: retail access/availability, social access/availability, enforcement and courts, community norms, individual factors, and pricing/promotion. At least two categories need to be represented because our prevention efforts need to be

comprehensive. We can aim to have more than two categories represented, but the determination should be driven by the data.



## Prioritization process for coalition members



wilderresearch.org

We are learning the process today. Next we will talk about reviewing materials.

## Review materials

You will be given copies of:

- Completed ***Needs Assessment Workbook***
- ***One-on-One Conversation*** facilitated discussion notes
- ***PCN Community Readiness*** results
- Any other supplemental information

Materials  
Matter™

[wilderresearch.org](http://wilderresearch.org)

These are the pieces of data you will be receiving to assist with the prioritization. Before beginning the prioritization process, you should review all of the data you have received. This will ensure that you are familiar with the information and you are able to rate the variables relative to one another. I realize this is a lot of information to take in, but reviewing it all before you start rating intervening variables will help you sort through it easier during the rating process.

## Rate intervening variables

- All coalition members rate all intervening variables on all four criteria
- Ratings collected via Survey Monkey
- You will have **one week** to respond
- Wilder Research will compile the results
- Wilder will provide the top 20 rated variables

wilderresearch.org

All coalition members will be asked to rate all of the intervening variables on all four criteria. This information will be collected using a Survey Monkey web-based form created by Wilder Research. I will send you a link to this form. You will have **one week** to respond. *[This could be changed to two weeks if time allows]*

## Select final variables

- Our RPC will be facilitate a discussion to select the variables
- Your ratings will guide this selection
- You can help to interpret the rankings and make the final decisions
- Our RPC will take detailed notes to document how decisions were made



wilderresearch.org

At a prioritization meeting, our RPC will be facilitate a discussion to select the variables that are most important to our coalition. Your ratings will guide this selection, but you will be asked to help interpret the rankings and make the final decisions.

After we select our intervening variables, I will develop a brief summary of the process we used, the variables we selected, and the information that supported this selection. This summary will be submitted to the Department of Human Services.

Once we have selected our intervening variables, we will work with the Department of Human Services and our RPC to select additional strategies, if needed, to ensure that all of our intervening variables are being addressed.

# Questions?



[wilderresearch.org](http://wilderresearch.org)